	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/516,482	DESIMONE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melanie D. Bagwell	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, man, a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notatute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
	This action is non-final.	`			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) \boxtimes Claims <u>1-64</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
	ted to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	l8) 19) 🔲 Notic	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01) Application/Control Number: 09/516,482

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1, 24, and 47 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the polymers listed for the first thermoplastic (claims 10-12, 35-37, and 56-58) and the polymers listed for the second thermoplastic (claims 10-12, 35-37, and 56-58). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for each thermoplastic, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Robert Smith on 3/21/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Page 3

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie D. Bagwell whose telephone number is (703)308-6539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (703)308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)872-9309.

mdb March 26, 2001



Creation date: 12-05-2003

Indexing Officer: AGEBRU - ABEBA GEBRU

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 09516482

Legal Date: 04-27-2001

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	A	1

Total number of pages: 1	•	

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on